arXiv:0909.2805v1 [math.AG] 15 Sep 2009

Coverings in p-adic analytic geometry and log coverings I: Cospecialization of the (p')-tempered fundamental group for a family of curves

Emmanuel Lepage

September 15, 2009

Contents

1 Preliminaries **5**
- 1.1 Tempered fundamental groups 5
- 1.2 Graphs 8

2 Log fundamental groups **9**
- 2.1 Log schemes 9
- 2.2 Két coverings 11
- 2.3 Saturated morphisms 12
- 2.4 Semistable log curves 13
- 2.5 Specialization of log fundamental groups 14

3 Cospecialization of tempered fundamental groups **19**
- 3.1 Topological cospecialization of semistable curves 19
- 3.2 Topological cospecialization and két morphisms 22
- 3.3 Cospecialization of tempered fundamental groups 22

Introduction

For a proper morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ with geometrically connected fibers and a specialization $\bar{y}_1 \to \bar{y}_2$ of geometric points of Y, A. Grothendieck has defined algebraic fundamental groups $\pi_1^{alg}(X_{\bar{y}_i})$ and a specialization homomorphism $\pi_1^{alg}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \pi_1^{alg}(X_{\bar{y}_2})$. Grothendieck's specialization theorem tells that this homomorphism is surjective if f is separable and induces an isomorphism between the prime-to-p quotients if f is smooth (here, p denotes the characteristic of $\bar{y}_2$, eventually 0), cf. [5, cor. X.2.4, cor. X.3.9].
In complex analytic geometry, a smooth and proper morphism is locally a trivial fibration of real differential manifolds, so that, in particular, all the fibers are homeomorphic, and thus have isomorphic (topological) fundamental groups.

The aim of this paper is to find some analogous result to the specialization theorem of Grothendieck in the frame of non archimedean analytic geometry.

In this paper, we will concentrate on the case of curves. We will discuss the higher dimensional case in [10].

What will play the role of the fundamental group in the non archimedean setting will be the *tempered fundamental group* of Y. André.

The tempered fundamental group was introduced in [1, part III] as a sort of non archimedean analog of the topological fundamental group of complex algebraic varieties; its profinite completion coincides with Grothendieck's algebraic fundamental group; it has itself many infinite discrete quotients in general.

Since the analytification (in the sense of V. Berkovich or of rigid geometry) of a finite étale covering of a p-adic algebraic variety is not necessarily a topological covering, André had to use a slightly larger notion of covering. He defined tempered coverings, which are étale coverings in the sense of A.J. de Jong (that is to say that locally on the Berkovich topology, it is a direct sum of finite coverings) such that, after pulling back by some finite étale covering, they become topological coverings (for the Berkovich topology). The *tempered fundamental group* is the prodiscrete group that classifies those tempered coverings. To give a more handful description, if one has a sequence of pointed finite Galois connected coverings $((S_i, s_i))_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ such that the corresponding pointed pro-covering of (X, x) is the universal pro-covering of (X, x), and if (S_i^∞, s_i^∞) is a universal topological covering of S_i, the tempered fundamental group of X can be seen as $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, x) = \varprojlim_i \mathrm{Gal}(S_i^\infty/X)$. Therefore, to understand the tempered fundamental group of a variety, one has to understand the topological behavior of its finite étale coverings.

In the case of a curve, the topological behavior can be described in terms of the graph of a semistable model ([2,]). More precisely, there is a natural embedding of the geometric realization of the graph of this semistable model into the Berkovich space of the curve which is a homotopy equivalence.

One problem which appears at once in looking for some non archimedean analog of Grothendieck's specialization theorems is that there are in general no non trivial specializations between distinct points of a non archimedean analytic (Berkovich or rigid) space: for example a separated Berkovich space has a Hausdorff underlying topological space, so that if there is a cospecialization (for the Berkovich topology, the étale topology...) between two geometric points of a Berkovich space, the two geometric points must have the same underlying point. Thus we will study specialization through a reduction (with good enough properties).

We want to understand how the tempered fundamental group of the geometric fibers of a smooth family varies. Let us for instance consider a family of elliptic curves. The tempered fundamental group of an elliptic curve over a complete algebraically closed non archimedean closed field is $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^2$ if it has good reduction, and $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \times \mathbf{Z}$ if it is a Tate curve. In particular, by looking at a moduli space of stable pointed elliptic curves with level structure[1], the tempered fundamental group (or any reasonable (p')-version) cannot be constant.

Moreover, if one looks at the moduli space over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, and one considers a curve E_1 with bad reduction and a curve E_0 with generic reduction (hence good re-

---

[1] to avoid stacks. However, the cospecialization homomorphisms we will construct will be local for the étale topology of the special fiber of the base. Thus, the fact that the base is a Deligne-Mumford stack is not really a problem.

duction), there cannot be a morphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(E_0) \to \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(E_1)$ which induces Grothendieck's specialization on the profinite completion, although the reduction point corresponding to E_1 specializes to the reduction point corresponding to E_0. Therefore there cannot be any reasonable specialization theory.
On the contrary, if one has two geometric points η_1 and η_2 of the moduli space such that the reduction of η_1 specializes to the reduction of η_2, then E_{η_1} has necessarily better reduction than E_{η_2} and there is some morphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(E_{\eta_2}) \to \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(E_{\eta_1})$ that induces an isomorphism on the profinite completions. Thus we want to look for a *cospecialization* of the tempered fundamental group.

The topological behavior of a general finite étale covering is too pathological to hope to have a simple cospecialization theory without adding a (p') condition on the coverings: for example two Mumford curves over some finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with isomorphic geometric tempered fundamental group have the same metrized graph of the stable reduction. Thus even if two Mumford curves have isomorphic stable reduction (and thus the point corresponding to their stable reduction is the same), they do not have isomorphic tempered fundamental group in general. Thus we will only study here finite coverings that are dominated by a finite Galois covering whose order is prime to p, where p is the residual characteristic (which can be 0; such a covering will be called a (p')-finite covering). Then, it becomes natural to introduce a (p')-tempered fundamental group which classifies tempered coverings that become topological coverings after pullback along some (p')-finite covering. It should be remarked that this (p')-tempered fundamental group cannot be in general recovered from the tempered fundamental group.
The (p')-tempered fundamental group of a curve was already studied by S. Mochizuki in [11]. It can be described in terms of a graph of profinite groups. From this description, one easily sees that the isomorphism class of the (p')-tempered fundamental group of a p-adic curve depends only of the stratum of the Knudsen stratification of the moduli space of stable curves in which the stable reduction lies. Moreover if one has two strata x_1 and x_2 in the moduli space of stable curves such that x_1 is in the closure of x_2, one can easily construct morphisms from the graph of groups corresponding to x_1 to the graph of groups corresponding to x_2 (inducing morphisms of tempered fundamental groups which induce isomorphisms of the pro-(p') completions).

We shall study the following situation. Let K be a discretely valued field, O_K be its valuation ring, k be its residue field and p its characteristics (which can be 0). Let X be a proper semistable pointed curve over O_K smooth over K and let $U \subset X_\eta$ be the complementary of the marked points. Let us describe the tempered fundamental group of $U_{\bar{\eta}}^{\text{an}}$ in terms of $X_{\bar{s}}$ ([11]).
Let us make sure at first that we can get such a description for the pro-(p') completion, *i.e.* the algebraic fundamental group ([9]). One cannot apply directly Grothendieck's specialization theorems (even if $U = X_\eta$) since the special fiber is not smooth but only semistable. Indeed, a pro-(p') geometric covering of the generic fiber will in generally only induce a Kummer covering on the special

fiber. These are naturally described in terms of *log geometry*, more precisely in terms of *két coverings* of a log scheme. One can endow X (and thus X_s too by restriction) with a natural log structure such that the pro-(p') fundamental group of U is isomorphic to a pro-(p') log fundamental group (as defined in [6]) of $X_{\bar{s}}$. One then gets a description of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}}(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ by taking the projective limit under tame coverings of K, or equivalently under két coverings of s endowed with its natural log structure: there is an equivalence between finite coverings of $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ and "geometric két coverings" of $X_{\bar{s}}$.
A két covering of X will still be a semistable model of its generic fiber if one replaces K by some tame extension. Thus, one can describe the topology of the corresponding covering of $X_{\bar{\eta}}$ in terms of the graph of the corresponding geometric két covering of $X_{\bar{s}}$.

Let us now come back to cospecialization. Let $X \to Y$ be a semistable curve over O_K with $X \to Y$ endowed with compatible log structure.
If $\bar{\eta}_1$ (resp. $\bar{\eta}_2$) is a (Berkovich) geometric point of $Y_{\mathrm{tr}} \subset Y_K$ (the locus where the log structure is trivial), let $\bar{s}_1$ (resp. $\bar{\eta}_2$) be its log reduction in Y_s (to be sure that this reduction exists we thus have to assume Y to be proper over O_K; otherwise, we have to consider only points η_1 and η_2 in the tube of the special fiber of Y).
To use the previous description of the tempered fundamental group of $X_{\bar{\eta}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{\eta}_i}$ in terms of $X_{\bar{s}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{s}_2}$, we have to assume that $\bar{\eta}_1$ and $\bar{\eta}_2$ are over Berkovich points with discrete valuation.

We will prove the following:

Theorem 0.1 (th. 3.5). *Let η_1 and η_2 be two Berkovich points with discrete valuation fields of the Zariski open subset Y_η^{tr} of Y_η where the log structure is trivial, and let $\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2$ be geometric points above them. Let $\bar{s}_2 \to \bar{s}_1$ be a log specialization of their log reductions, then there is a cospecialization homomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{\bar{\eta}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\text{temp-geom}}(X_{\bar{\eta}_2})^{\mathbb{L}}$.*

Theorem 3.5 will also give a simple criterion for this cospecialization morphism to be an isomorphism, based on the log structure at $\bar{s}_1$ and $\bar{s}_2$: it is an isomorphism as soon as $\overline{M}_{\bar{s}_1} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{s}_2}$ is an isomorphism (where M is the sheaf of monoids given by the log structure).

Let us come back to our moduli space of pointed stable elliptic curves with high enough level structure M over O_K, and let C be the canonical stable elliptic curve on M. If η_1 and η_2 are two Berkovich points of M_η, they are in M_η^{tr} if and only if C_{η_1} and C_{η_2} are smooth. $C \to M$, endowed with their natural log-structures over (O_K, O_K^*), is a semistable morphism of log schemes. One thus get a cospecialization outer morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(C_{\overline{\eta}_1}) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(C_{\overline{\eta}_2})$ for every specialization $\overline{s}_2 \to \overline{s}_1$, which is an isomorphism if $\overline{s}_1$ and $\overline{s}_2$ are in the same stratum of M_s. Since the moduli stack of pointed stable elliptic curves over $\operatorname{Spec} k$ has only two stratum, one corresponding to smooth elliptic curves M_0 and one to singular curves M_1, one gets that $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(E_1)^{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(E_2)^{\mathbb{L}}$ if E_1 and E_2 are two curves with good reduction or two Tate curves (the isomorphism depends on choices of cospecializations). Since M_1 is in the closure of M_0 one gets a morphism from the tempered fundamental group of a Tate curve to the tempered fundamental group of an elliptic curve with good reduction.

The first thing we need to construct the cospecialization homomorphism for tempered fundamental groups is a specialization morphism for the (p')-log geometric fundamental groups of $X_{\bar{s}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{s}_2}$ that extends any (p')-log geometric covering of X_{s_1} to a két neighborhood of s_1 (if one has such a specialization morphism, by comparing it to the fundamental groups of $X_{\bar{\eta}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{\eta}_2}$ and using Grothendieck's specialization theorem, we will easily get that it must be an isomorphism). This specialization morphism is easily deduced from [13] if s_1 is a strict point of Y, *i.e.* the log structure of s_1 is just the pull back of the log structure of Y. Thus we will study the invariance of the log geometric fundamental group by change of fs base point. In this article, we will only use the case of relative dimension 1. However we will still prove it in the general case because a dimensional assumption would not really make the proof simpler and we will need it in [10] in higher dimensional cases.
Then we have to construct cospecialization topological morphisms for a semistable curve, more precisely cospecialization morphisms of the graphs of the geometric fibers. This will be done étale locally. These morphisms are not morphisms of graphs in the usual sense, since an edge can be contracted over a vertex, but still give a map between their geometric realization, whence a map of homotopy types $U_{\bar{\eta}_1} \to U_{\bar{\eta}_2}$. This can also be done for any két covering of $X_{\bar{s}_1}$: we thus get such a map of homotopy types for every (p')-covering of $U_{\bar{\eta}_1}$. Those maps are compatible, and thus glue to give the wanted cospecialization of tempered fundamental groups.

In the first section, we will recall the basic notions about tempered fundamental groups and define the (p') versions. We will also recall what we will need about graphs.

In the second section, we will recall the definition of log schemes and log fundamental groups. We will then study specialization of log fundamental groups.

Finally, in a third part, we will construct the cospecialization morphisms of graphs of the geometric fibers of a semistable curve. We will verify the compatibility with két morphisms to obtain the wanted cospecialization morphisms of tempered fundamental groups.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Tempered fundamental groups

Let K be a complete nonarchimedean field.
Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a set of prime numbers (for example, we will denote by (p') the set of all primes except the residual characteristic p of K). An $\mathbb{L}$-integer will be an integer which is a product of elements of $\mathbb{L}$.
If $X \subset \overline{X}$ is a K-curve, X^{an} will be the K-analytic space in the sense of

Berkovich associated to X. A morphism $f : S' \to S$ of analytic spaces is said to be an *étale covering* if S is covered by open subsets U such that $f^{-1}(U) = \coprod V_j$ and $V_j \to U$ is étale finite ([3]).
For example, étale $\mathbb{L}$-finite coverings (*i.e.* étale finite coverings such that the order of every connected component is an $\mathbb{L}$-integer), also called $\mathbb{L}$-*algebraic coverings*, and coverings in the usual topological sense for the Berkovich topology, also called *topological coverings*, are étale coverings.
Then, André defines tempered coverings in [1, def. 2.1.1]. We generalize this definition to $\mathbb{L}$-tempered coverings as follows:

Definition 1.1. An étale covering $S' \to S$ is $\mathbb{L}$-*tempered* if it is a quotient of the composition of a topological covering $T' \to T$ and of a $\mathbb{L}$-finite étale covering $T \to S$.

This is equivalent to say that it becomes a topological covering after pullback by some $\mathbb{L}$-finite étale covering.
We denote by $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$, $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$) the category of $\mathbb{L}$-tempered coverings (resp. $\mathbb{L}$-algebraic coverings, topological coverings) of X (with the obvious morphisms).

A geometric point of a K-analytic space X is a morphism of Berkovich spaces $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) \to X$ where Ω is an algebraically closed complete isometric extension of K.
Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X. Then one has a functor

$$F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Set}$$

which maps a covering $S \to X$ to the set $S_{\bar{x}}$. If $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}'$ are two geometric points, then $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $F_{\bar{x}'}^{\mathbb{L}}$ are (non canonically) isomorphic ([3, prop. 2.9]).
The tempered fundamental group of X pointed at $\bar{x}$ is

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} = \mathrm{Aut}\, F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

When X is a smooth algebraic K-variety, $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X^{\mathrm{an}})^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X^{\mathrm{an}}, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ will also be denoted simply by $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$.
By considering the stabilizers $(\mathrm{Stab}_{F(S)}(s))_{S \in \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}, s \in F_{\bar{x}}(S)}$ as a basis of open subgroups of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ becomes a topological group. It is a prodiscrete topological group.
When X is algebraic, K of characteristic zero and has only countably many finite extensions in a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{K}$, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ has a countable fundamental system of neighborhood of 1 and all its discrete quotient groups are finitely generated ([1, prop. 2.1.7]).

If $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}'$ are two geometric points, then $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $F_{\bar{x}'}^{\mathbb{L}}$ are (non canonically) isomorphic ([3, prop. 2.9]). Thus, as usual, the tempered fundamental group depends on the basepoint only up to inner automorphism (this topological group, considered up to conjugation, will sometimes be denoted simply $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$).
The full subcategory of tempered coverings S for which $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}(S)$ is $\mathbb{L}$-finite is equivalent to $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(S)^{\mathbb{L}}$, hence

$$\left(\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}\right)^{\mathbb{L}} = \pi_1^{\mathrm{alg}}(X, \bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$$

(where $(\ )^{\mathbb{L}}$ denotes the pro-$\mathbb{L}$ completion).
For any morphism $X \to Y$, the pullback defines a functor $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(Y)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$. If $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point of X with image $\bar{y}$ in Y, this gives rise to a continuous homomorphism

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(Y,\bar{y})^{\mathbb{L}}$$

(hence an outer morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(Y)^{\mathbb{L}}$).
One has the analog of the usual Galois correspondence:

Theorem 1.1 ([1, th. 1.4.5]). *$F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}$ induces an equivalence of categories between the category of direct sums of $\mathbb{L}$-tempered coverings of X and the category $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$-Set of discrete sets endowed with a continuous left action of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$.*

If S is a $\mathbb{L}$-finite Galois covering of X, its universal topological covering S^{∞} is still Galois and every connected $\mathbb{L}$-tempered covering is dominated by such a Galois $\mathbb{L}$-tempered covering.
If $((S_i,\bar{s}_i))_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ is a cofinal projective system (with morphisms $f_{ij}: S_i \to S_j$ which maps s_i to s_j for $i \geq j$) of geometrically pointed Galois $\mathbb{L}$-finite étale coverings of $(X,\bar{x})$, let $((S_i^{\infty},\bar{s}_i^{\infty}))_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ be the projective system, with morphisms f_{ij}^{∞} for $i \geq j$, of its pointed universal topological coverings. Then $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}(S_i^{\infty}) = \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}/\operatorname{Stab}_{F(S_i^{\infty})}(\bar{s}_i^{\infty})$ is naturally a quotient group G of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}}$ for which s_i^{∞} is the neutral element. Moreover G acts by G-automorphisms on $F_{\bar{x}}^{\mathbb{L}}(S_i^{\infty})$ by right translation (and thus on S_i^{∞} thanks to the Galois correspondence (theorem 1.1)). Thus one gets a morphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Gal}(S_i^{\infty}/X)$.
As $f_{ij}^{\infty}(s_i^{\infty}) = s_j^{\infty}$, these morphisms are compatible with $\mathrm{Gal}(S_i^{\infty}/X) \to \mathrm{Gal}(S_j^{\infty}/X)$.
Then, thanks to [1, lem. III.2.1.5],

Proposition 1.2.

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(X,\bar{x})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \varprojlim \mathrm{Gal}(S_i^{\infty}/X)$$

is an isomorphism.

In a more categorical way, we have a fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$, where the fiber $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)_S$ in an algebraic covering S of X is $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$.
Since algebraic coverings are of effective descent for tempered coverings, the full subcategory of tempered coverings T of X such that $T_S \to S$ is a topological covering is naturally equivalent to the category $\mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_S}$ of descent data in the fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ with respect to $S \to X$.
If "$\varprojlim$" S_i is a a universal procovering of (X,x), one gets a natural equivalence

$$\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(X) = \underset{\longleftarrow\ i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_{S_i}}$$

In particular one can recover the tempered fundamental group from the fibered category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$.
If $S \to S$ is an isomorphism, the induced functor $\mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_S} \to \mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_S}$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity. Thus if α : "$\varprojlim_i$" $S_i \to$ "$\varprojlim_i$" S_i is an automorphism of the universal pro-covering, the induced functor $\underset{\longleftarrow\ i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_{S_i}} \to \underset{\longleftarrow\ i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \mathrm{DD}_{\mathrm{temp}_{S_i}}$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity. Thus the construction does not depend of the choice of the universal procovering.

To give a more stacky and functorial description, let us consider $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$ with its canonical topology. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ is a separated prestack on $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$ (*i.e.* just a fibered category; it is not a prestack of groupoids).
Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(X) \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$ be the fibered category whose fiber in U is the category $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(U)$ of tempered coverings of U. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(X)$ is a stack. The fully faithful cartesian functor of prestacks $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X) \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(X)$ induces a fully faithful cartesian functor of stacks $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)^a \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(X)$ where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)^a$ is the stack associated to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)$. Since a tempered covering is a topological covering locally on $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$, this functor is in fact an equivalence ([4, th. 2.1.3]).
In a simlar way, the stack $(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(X)|_{\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}})^a$ is the stack $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$ of $\mathbb{L}$-tempered coverings on $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X)^{\mathbb{L}}$.

1.2 Graphs

A *graph* $\mathbb{G}$ is given by a set of edges $\mathcal{E}$ a set of vertices $\mathcal{V}$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$ a set of branches $\mathcal{B}_e$ of cardinality 2 and a map $\psi_e : \mathcal{B}_e \to \mathcal{V}$.
One can also equivalently replace the data of edges and branches of each edge by the datum of the set of all branches $\mathcal{B} = \coprod_e \mathcal{B}_e$, with an involution ι without fixed points, and a map $\psi : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{V}$. E is then the set of orbits of branches for ι.
An *genuine morphism of graphs* $\phi : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}'$ is given by a map $\phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$, a map $\phi_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$ and for every $e \in \mathcal{E}$ a bijection $\phi_e : \mathcal{B}_e \to \mathcal{B}'_{\phi_{\mathcal{E}}(e)}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{B}_e \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{B}'_{\phi_{\mathcal{E}}(e)} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{V} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{V}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

However, the topological cospecialization for semistable curves will be given by maps of graphs which are not genuine morphisms. A *generalized morphism of graphs* $\phi : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}'$ will be given by:

- a map $\phi_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}'$,
- a map $\phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}' \coprod \mathcal{V}'$,
- for any $e \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}(e) \in \mathcal{E}'$, a bijection $\phi_e : \mathcal{B}_e \to \mathcal{B}'_{\phi_{\mathcal{E}}(e)}$ such that the obvious diagram commutes (it is the same diagram as in the case of genuine morphisms).

One can replace the two last data by the data of $\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}' \coprod \mathcal{V}'$ such that if $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \in \mathcal{B}'$ then $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(\iota(b)) = \iota'(\phi_{\mathcal{B}})$ and if $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \in \mathcal{V}'$, $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(\iota(b)) = \phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$.
In particular, a genuine morphism is a generalized morphism. There is an obvious composition of morphism of generalized morphism of graphs.
One thus gets a category Graph of graphs with genuine morphisms and a category GenGraph of graphs with generalized morphisms.

There is a geometric realization functor $|\ | : \mathrm{GenGraph} \to \mathrm{Top}$ which maps a graph $\mathbb{G}$ to

$$|\mathbb{G}| := \mathrm{Coker}(\coprod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \mathrm{pt}_{1,b} \amalg \mathrm{pt}_{2,b} \rightrightarrows \coprod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathrm{pt}_v \amalg \coprod_{b \in \mathcal{B}} [1/2, 1]_b),$$

where

- the upper map sends:
  - $\mathrm{pt}_{1,b}$ to $1/2$ in $[1/2,1]_b$
  - $\mathrm{pt}_{2,b}$ to 1 in $[1/2,1]_b$,
- the lower map sends
  - $\mathrm{pt}_{1,b}$ to $1/2$ in $[1/2,1]_{\iota(b)}$
  - $\mathrm{pt}_{2,b}$ to $\mathrm{pt}_{\psi(b)}$.

If $\phi : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}'$ is a generalized morphism, $|\phi|$ is obtained by mapping

- pt_v to $\mathrm{pt}_{\phi_{\mathcal{V}}(v)}$,
- $[1/2,1]_b$ to $[1/2,1]_{\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)}$ if $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \in \mathcal{B}'$ (by the identity of $[1/2,1]$),
- $[1/2,1]_b$ to $\mathrm{pt}_{\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)}$ if $\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \in \mathcal{V}'$.

2 Log fundamental groups

This part is a reminder of the theory of log schemes, as can be found in [8] and [12], and of the log fundamental groups, as can be found in [6] or [15].

2.1 Log schemes

All monoids here are commutative with units, and morphisms of monoids map the unit to the unit. If P is a monoid, P^{gp} will be its group envelope, P^* the group of invertible elements of P and $\overline{P} = P/P^*$.
If $a, b \in P$, we will write $a|b$ if there is $c \in P$ such that $b = ac$.
A monoid P is *sharp* if $P^* = \{1\}$.
A monoid P is *integral* if the morphism $P \to P^{\mathrm{gp}}$ is injective. A monoid is *fine* if it is integral and finitely generated. An integral monoid is *saturated* if $a \in P^{\mathrm{gp}}$ is in P if there exists n such that $a^n \in P$.
If P is a fine and saturated monoid (or fs for short), $P^{\mathrm{gp}}/P^* = \overline{P}^{\mathrm{gp}}$ is a free abelian group of finite type, and thus there is a (non canonical) section $\overline{P}^{\mathrm{gp}} \to P^{\mathrm{gp}}$ and it induces a decomposition $P = P^* \oplus \overline{P}$.
A morphism $f : P \to Q$ of monoids is *local* if $f^{-1}(Q^*) = P^*$ A morphism $P \to Q$ of integral monoids is *exact* if P is the inverse image of Q in P^{gp}.

A *pre-log structure* on a scheme X is a pair (M, α) where M is a sheaf of monoids on $X_{\text{ét}}$, and $\alpha : M \to (O_X, .)$ is a morphism of sheaves of monoids, where O_X is the canonical sheaf of X and . is the multiplication on O_X. A pre-log structure will be a *log structure* if the induced map $\alpha^{-1}(O_X^*) \to O_X^*$ is an isomorphism. A *log scheme* X is a scheme (the *underlying scheme* $\mathring{X}$ of the log scheme) with a log structure on it.
The forgetful functor from log structures on X to pre-log structures on X admits a left adjoint $(M, \alpha) \mapsto (M^a, \alpha^a)$ where M^a is the amalgamated sum of Q and O_X along $\alpha^{-1}(O_X^*)$ (this log structure is called the log structure *associated* to (M, α)).

A *morphism of log schemes* $f : (X, M, \alpha) \to (Y, N, \beta)$ is a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ with a morphism of sheaves of monoids $f^{-1}N \to M$ compatible with α and β. Then $f^{-1}N \to M$ is necessarily a local morphism of sheaves of monoids.
A log scheme X is *integral* if for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of $\mathring{X}$, $M_{\bar{x}}$ is integral.
If $Y = (Y, N, \beta)$ is a log scheme and $X \to \mathring{Y}$ is a morphism of schemes, the log structure on X associated to $(f^{-1}N, f^{-1}\beta)$ is called the *inverse image log structure* and is denoted f^*N. A morphism of log schemes $f : (X, M, \alpha) \to (Y, N, \beta)$ is *strict* if the induced morphism $f^*N \to M$ is an isomorphism (if X is integral, this is equivalent to say that $\overline{N}_{f(\bar{x})} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$ is an isomorphism for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X).

If P is a monoid the log structure on $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P]$ associated to the pre-log structure defined by $P \to \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is called the canonical log structure. There is a canonical morphism $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P] \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ which maps a prime ideal I of $\mathbf{Z}[P]$ to $I \cap P$.
A (global) *chart* modeled on a monoid P of a log scheme X is a morphism from the constant sheaf $P_X \to M_X$ inducing an isomorphism on the associated log structures. This also amounts to giving a strict morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P]$, where $\mathbf{Z}[P]$ is endowed with its canonical log structure.
If $\bar{x}$ is a geometric point, an integral chart $P \to M$ is *exact at* $\bar{x}$ (resp. *good at* $\bar{x}$) if $\overline{P} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$ (resp. $P \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$) is an isomorphism.
A log scheme is *fine* (resp. *fine and saturated* or fs for short) if it is integral and, locally for the étale topology, it admits a chart modeled on a finitely generated and integral (resp. finitely generated and saturated) monoid.
We will mainly work in the category of fs log schemes. There are fiber products in this category, but in general, taking the underlying scheme does not commute with fiber products.
If $X \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ is an fs chart and $\overline{x}$ is a geometric point of X which maps to $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} P$ and let $F = P \backslash \mathfrak{p}$, then $P \to M_{\bar{x}}$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{F^{-1}P} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{x}}$. Moreover $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[F^{-1}P] \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P]$ is an open embedding corresponding to the preimage of $D(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}' | \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}'\} \subset \operatorname{Spec} P$. Thus $F^{-1}P$ induces an exact chart of a Zariski neighborhood of $\overline{x}$. But one can then choose some decomposition $F^{-1}P = \overline{F^{-1}P} \oplus (F^{-1}P)^*$, and the induced morphism $\overline{F^{-1}P} \to F^{-1}P \to M_X$ is a good chart at $\overline{x}$.

If $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism of fine log schemes, a *chart* of f is given by a chart $X \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P]$, a chart $Y \to \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[Q]$ and a morphism $Q \to P$ such that the corresponding square of log schemes commute. Any morphism of fine log schemes has charts étale locally.

A morphism of fine log schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *log smooth* (resp. *log étale*) if étale locally on X and Y, f admits a chart $u : Q \to P$ such that the kernel and the torsion part of the cokernel (resp. the kernel and the cokernel) of u^{gp} are finite groups of order invertible on X and $X \to Y \times_{\operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[Q]} \operatorname{Spec}\mathbf{Z}[P]$ is étale. There are valuative characterizations of log étale and log smooth morphisms. Log étale and log smooth morphisms are stable under base change and composition.

A morphism $h : Q \to P$ of fs monoids is *Kummer* (resp. $\mathbb{L}$-Kummer) if h is injective and for every $a \in P$, there exists an integer (an $\mathbb{L}$-integer) n such that $na \in h(Q)$ (note that if $Q \to P$ is Kummer, $\operatorname{Spec} P \to \operatorname{Spec} Q$ is an homeomorphism).

A morphism $f : X \to Y$ of fs log schemes is said to be *Kummer* (resp. *exact*) if for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of X, $\overline{M}_{Y,f(\bar{x})} \to \overline{M}_{X,\bar{x}}$ is Kummer (resp. exact).

2.2 Két coverings

A morphism of fs log scheme is *Kummer étale* (or két for short) if it is Kummer and log étale.

A morphism f is két if and only if étale locally it is deduced by strict base change and étale localization from a map $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ induced by a Kummer map $Q \to P$ such that $nP \subset Q$ for some n invertible on X.

In fact if $f : Y \to X$ is két, $\bar{y}$ is a geometric point of Y, and $P \to M_X$ is an exact chart of X at $f(\bar{y})$, there is an étale neighborhood U of $\bar{x}$ and a Zariski open neighborhood $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$ of $\bar{y}$ such that $V \to U$ is isomorphic to $U \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ with $P \to Q$ a $\mathbb{L}$-Kummer morphism where $\mathbb{L}$ is the set of primes invertible on U ([15, Prop. 3.1.4]).

Két morphisms are open and quasi-finite.

The category of két fs log schemes over X (any X-morphism between two such fs log schemes is then két) where the covering families $(T_i \to T)$ of T are the families that are set-theoretical covering families (being a set-theoretical covering két family is stable under fs base change) is a site. We will denote by $X_{\text{két}}$ the corresponding topos.

Any locally constant finite object of $X_{\text{két}}$ is representable. A két fs log scheme over X that represents such a locally constant finite sheaf will be called a ***két covering*** of X. We will denote by $\operatorname{KCov}(X)$ the category of két coverings of $X_{\text{két}}$.

A log geometric point is a log scheme s such that $\mathring{s}$ is the spectrum of a separably closed field k such that M_s is saturated and multiplication by n on $\overline{M}_s$ is an isomorphism for every n prime to the characteristic of k.

A log geometric point of X is a morphism $x : s \to X$ of log schemes where s is a log geometric point. A két neighborhood U of s in X is a morphism $s \to U$ of X-log schemes where $U \to X$ is két. Then if x is a log geometric point of X, the functor F_x from $X_{\text{két}}$ to Set defined by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \varinjlim_U \mathcal{F}(U)$ where U runs through the directed category of két neighborhoods of x is a point of the topos $X_{\text{két}}$ and any point of this topos is isomorphic to F_x for some log geometric point and they make a conservative system.

One also defines the log strict localization $X(x)$ to be the inverse limit in the category of saturated log schemes of the két neighborhoods of x. If x and y are log geometric points of x, a *specialization* of log geometric points $x \to y$ is a morphism $X(x) \to X(y)$ over X.

A specialization $x \to y$ induces a canonical morphism $F_x \to F_y$ of functors.

If there is a specialization $x \to y$ of the underlying topological points, then there is some specialization $x \to y$ of log geometric points.

If X is connected, for any log geometric point x of X, F_x induces a fundamental functor $\operatorname{KCov}(X) \to \text{fSet}$ of the Galois category $\operatorname{KCov}(X)$.

One then denotes by $\pi_1^{\log}(X, x)$ the profinite group of automorphisms of this fundamental functor.

Strict étale surjective morphisms satisfy effective descent for két coverings ([15, prop. 3.2.19]).
If $f : S' \to S$ is an exact morphism of fs log schemes such that $\mathring{f}$ is proper, surjective and of finite presentation, then f satisfies effective descent for két coverings ([15, th. 3.2.25]).

Theorem 2.1 ([6, th. 7.6])**.** *If X is a log regular fs log scheme, and all the primes of $\mathbb{L}$ are invertible on X, then $\mathrm{KCov}(X)^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X_{\mathrm{tr}})^{\mathbb{L}}$ is an equivalence of categories ([6, th. 7.6]).*

Theorem 2.2 ([13, cor. 2.3])**.** *Let S be a strictly local scheme with closed point s, and let X be a connected fs log scheme such that $\mathring{X}$ is proper over S. Then*

$$\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Let O_K be a discretely valuated ring endowed with its natural log structure and let $\mathbb{L}$ a set of prime numbers invertible in O_K. Let $X \to \operatorname{Spec} O_K$ be a proper and log smooth morphism and let $U := X_{\mathrm{tr}} \subset X_\eta$. Thus, there is a natural equivalence of categories $\mathrm{KCov}(X_s)^{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U)^{\mathbb{L}}$.
Thanks to [9, prop. 1.15], any algebraic covering of $U_{\bar{\eta}}$ is already defined over a tamely ramified extension of K, and thus we get:

Theorem 2.3. *There is a natural equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_s)^{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{\eta}})^{\mathbb{L}}$$

If $q : X \to Y$ is kuh, $q^* : Y_{\text{két}} \to X_{\text{két}}$ is an equivalence of categories ([16, th. 0.1])

2.3 Saturated morphisms

A morphism of fs monoids $P \to Q$ is *integral* if, for any morphism of integral monoids $P \to Q'$, the amalgamated sum $Q \oplus_P Q'$ is still integral.
A integral morphism of fs monoids $P \to Q$ is *saturated* if, for any morphism of fs monoids $P \to Q'$, the amalgamated sum $Q \oplus_P Q'$ is still fs.

A morphism $f : Y \to X$ of fs log schemes is *saturated* if for any geometric point $\bar{y}$ of Y, $\bar{M}_{X,f(\bar{y})} \to \bar{M}_{Y,\bar{y}}$ is saturated.
If $Y \to X$ is saturated and $Z \to X$ is a morphism of fs log schemes, then the underlying scheme of $Z \times_X Y$ is $\mathring{Z} \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{Y}$.

If $P \to Q$ is a local and integral (resp. saturated) morphism of fs monoids and P is sharp, the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$ is flat (resp. separable, *i.e.* flat with geometrically reduced fibers, cf. [12, cor. 4.3.16] and [7, rem. 6.3.3]).
Let $f : X \to Y$ be log smooth and $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of X. Etale locally on Y, there is a good chart $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ at $\bar{y}$. Then, thanks to [7, prop. A.3.1.1], there is étale locally at x a chart $P \to Q$ of $Y \to X$ such that $Y \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \times_{\mathbf{Z}[P]} X$ is étale and $X \to \operatorname{Spec} Q$ is exact at x. Thus if

f is integral (resp. saturated), $P \to Q$ is a local and integral (resp. saturated) morphism of fs monoids and P is sharp. Thus f is flat (resp. separable).

If $P \to Q$ is an integral morphism of fs monoids, there exists an integer n such that the pullback $P_n \to Q'$ of $P \to Q$ along $P \overset{n}{\to} P = P_n$ is saturated (theorem [7, A.4.2]).
Moreover if $P \to Q$ factors through Q_0 such that $P \to Q_0$ is saturated and $Q_0 \to Q$ is $\mathbb{L}$-Kummer, n can be chosen to be an $\mathbb{L}$-integer.

2.4 Semistable log curves

Definition 2.1. A morphism $X \to S$ of fs log schemes is a *semistable log curve* if étale locally there is a chart $S \to \operatorname{Spec} P$ on S such that one of the following is satisfied:

- $X \to S$ is a strict smooth curve,
- $X \to S$ factorizes through a strict étale morphism $X \to S \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ with $Q = (P \oplus < u, v >)/u + v = p$,
- $X \to S$ factorizes through a strict étale morphism $X \to S \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P \oplus \mathbf{N}]$.

A semistable curve is *strictly semistable* if étale locally on S, there are such maps locally for the Zariski topology of X.

A semistable curve is a log smooth and saturated morphism.
Being a semistable curve is in fact equivalent to being a log smooth and saturated morphism purely of relative dimension 1.

The underlying morphism of schemes $\mathring{X} \to \mathring{S}$ is a semistable curve of schemes. In particular, if $\mathring{S}$ is a point with separably closed field, one can associate to X a graph $\mathbb{G}(X)$ in the following way: the vertices are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}_s$, the edges are the nodes. The two branches of a node e abut to the irreducible components that contain e (this graph does not depend of the log structure).

If $X \to S$ is a proper semistable curve and $X' \to X$ is a két covering. Then for any log geometric point $\bar{s}$ of S, there is a két neighborhood U of $\bar{s}$ such that $X'_U \to U$ is saturated. Then $X'_U \to U$ is also a semistable curve.
The morphism $\mathring{\mathcal{X}}'_{\bar{s}} \to \mathring{\mathcal{X}}_{\bar{s}}$ induces a genuine morphism $\mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{s}}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{s}})$ of graphs.

If K is a complete nonarchimedean field with separably closed residue field, O_K is its ring of integers and $X \to O_K$ is a proper semistable curve with smooth generic fiber, there is a canonical embedding $|\mathbb{G}(X)| \to X_\eta^{\mathrm{an}}$ which is a homotopy equivalence. It is compatible with any isometric extension of K.
Moreover, if U is any dense Zariski open subset of X_η, $|\mathbb{G}(X)|$ is mapped into U^{an} and $|\mathbb{G}(X)| \to U^{\mathrm{an}}$ is still a homotopy equivalence.
If $X \to O_K$ is a semistable log curve and $X' \to X$ is a két morphism such that

X' is still a semistable curve, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|\mathbb{G}(X')|} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & X'^{\mathrm{an}}_{\eta} \arrow[d] \\
{|\mathbb{G}(X)|} \arrow[r] & X^{\mathrm{an}}_{\eta}
\end{tikzcd}$$

2.5 Specialization of log fundamental groups

Let us study specialization of log geometric fundamental groups (that is the projective limit of the log fundamental groups after taking két extensions of the base log point). Our main result will be the invariance of the log geometric fundamental group of an fs log scheme X saturated and of finite type over an fs log point S with separably closed field by fs base change that is an isomorphism on the underlying scheme. The assumptions implies that our base change induces an isomorphism of underlying schemes. Working étale locally on this scheme, we are reduced to the case where this scheme is strictly local and henselian, where the log geometric fundamental group can be explicitly described in terms of the morphism of monoids $\overline{M}_X \to \overline{M}_S$.
Combining this base change invariance result with strict base change invariance of the (p')-log geometric fundamental group and strict specialization of the (p')-log geometric fundamental group ([13]), we will get that if $X \to S$ is proper log smooth saturated morphism, and s_2, s_1 are fs points of S and $\bar{s}_2 \to \bar{s}_1$ is a cospecialization of log geometric points of S over s_2 and s_1, then there is a specialization morphism $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_{s_2}) \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_{s_1})$ (this is the only result we will really need in the following).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s' \to s$ be a strict morphism of log points such that $\mathring{s}'$ and $\mathring{s}$ are geometric points of characteristic p. Let $X \to s$ be a morphism of fs log schemes such that $\mathring{X} \to \mathring{s}$ is of finite type.*
Then $F : \mathrm{KCov}(X)^{(p')} \to \mathrm{KCov}(X_{s'})^{(p')}$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. If T is a connected két covering of X, $T \overset{\circ}{\times}_s s' \to \mathring{T} \times_{\mathring{s}} \mathring{s}'$ is an isomorphism since $s' \to s$ is strict. $\mathring{T} \times_{\mathring{s}} \mathring{s}'$ is connected too, so we get that the functor F is fully faithful.
As one already knows that F is fully faithful for any X, and as strict étale surjective morphisms satisfy effective descent for két coverings, one may prove the result étale locally, and thus assume that X has a global chart $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]$.
Let S' be a két covering of $X_{s'}$. Then there exists a (p')-Kummer morphism of monoids $P \to Q$ such that

$$S'_Q := S' \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q] \to X_{s',Q} := X_{s'} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[P]} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$$

is strict étale (and surjective).
But, since $\mathring{X}_{s',Q} \to \mathring{X}_Q \times_{\mathring{s}} \mathring{s}'$ is an isomorphism of schemes, $\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathring{X}_{s',Q}) \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(\mathring{X}_Q)$ is an equivalence of categories ([14, cor 4.5]). Thus, there is a strict étale covering S_Q of X_Q (and thus $S_Q \to X$ is a két covering) such that S'_Q is $X_{s',Q}$-isomorphic to $S_Q \times_s s'$.
Thus F was an equivalence of categories. □

Let $X \to s$ be a morphism of fs log schemes, where s is an fs log point. Let $\bar{x}$ be a log geometric point of X and let $\bar{s}$ be its image in s.

Then we define the *log geometric fundamental group* of X at $\bar{x}$ to be

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1^{\log}(X, \bar{x}) \to \pi_1^{\log}(s, \bar{s})).$$

If $(t, \bar{t}) \to (s, \bar{s})$ is a Galois connected pointed két covering of $(s, \bar{s})$, one defines $X_t = X \times_s t$ and $\bar{x}_t = (\bar{x}, \bar{t})$.
Then one has an exact sequence:

$$1 \to \pi_1^{\log}(X_t, \bar{x}_t) \to \pi_1^{\log}(X, \bar{x}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(t/s),$$

and the right map is onto if X_t is connected (we will say that X is *log geometrically connected* if X_t is connected for any connected két covering t of s).
By taking the projective limit of the previous exact sequence when $(t, \bar{t})$ runs through the directed category of pointed Galois connected coverings of $(s, \bar{s})$, one gets a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x}) = \varprojlim_{(t,\bar{t})} \pi_1^{\log}(X_t, \bar{x}_t)$.
Actually, if $\tilde{t} \to t$ is the reduced subscheme of t endowed with the inverse image log structure, the map $\pi_1^{\log}(X_{\tilde{t}}, \bar{x}_t) \to \pi_1^{\log}(X_t, \bar{x}_t)$ is an isomorphism, so that one can replace X_t in the previous projective limit by $X_{\tilde{t}}$.

If one has a commutative square of pointed fs log schemes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', \bar{x}') \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & (X, \bar{x}) \arrow[d] \\
(s', \bar{s}') \arrow[r, "\psi"] & (s, \bar{s})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where s' and s are log points, one gets a commutative diagram of profinite groups:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{\log}(X', \bar{x}') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1^{\log}(X, \bar{x}) \arrow[d] \\
\pi_1^{\log}(s', \bar{s}') \arrow[r] & \pi_1^{\log}(s, \bar{s})
\end{tikzcd}$$

By taking the kernel of the vertical arrows, one gets a map $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X'/s', \bar{x}') \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x})$, which is functorial with respect to (ϕ, ψ).
One also has, by definition of the universal pro-pointed covering of $(s', \bar{s}')$, a canonical morphism of pro-$(s', \bar{s}')$-pointed két covering

$$\varprojlim_{(t', \bar{t}')} (t', \bar{t}') \to \varprojlim_{(t, \bar{t})} \psi^*(t, \bar{t})$$

(where $(t', \bar{t}')$ runs through pointed Galois connected két coverings of $(s', \bar{s}')$ and $(t, \bar{t})$ runs through pointed Galois connected két coverings of $(s, \bar{s})$), and thus a morphism of pro-pointed fs log schemes

$$\varprojlim_{(t', \bar{t}')} (t', \bar{t}') \to \varprojlim_{(t, \bar{t})} (t, \bar{t}).$$

This induces a morphism of pro-pointed fs log schemes

$$\varprojlim (X'_{t'}, \bar{x}'_{t'}) \to \varprojlim (X_t, \bar{x}_t),$$

hence a map of profinite groups

$$\varprojlim \pi_1^{\log}(X'_{t'}, \bar{x}'_{t'}) \to \varprojlim \pi_1^{\log}(X_t, \bar{x}_t),$$

such that the following square of profinite groups is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varprojlim \pi_1^{\log}(X'_{t'}, \bar{x}'_{t'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \varprojlim \pi_1^{\log}(X_t, \bar{x}_t) \arrow[d, equal] \\
\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X'/s', \bar{x}') \arrow[r] & \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let now $s' \to s$ be a morphism of fs log points, such that the underlying morphism of schemes $\mathring{s}' \to \mathring{s}$ is an isomorphism of geometric points, and let $X \to s$ be a saturated morphism of fs log schemes with $\mathring{X}$ nœtherian and $\mathring{X} \to \mathring{s}$ (geometrically) connected. Since $X \to s$ is saturated, it is log geometrically connected.
Let $\bar{x}'$ be a log geometric point of $X' = X \times_s s'$ and let $\bar{x}$, $\bar{s}'$ and $\bar{s}$ be its image in X, s' and s respectively.
We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\pi_1^{\log}(X', \bar{x}') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1^{\log}(X, \bar{x}) \arrow[d] \\
\pi_1^{\log}(s', \bar{s}) \arrow[r] & \pi_1^{\log}(s, \bar{s})
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 2.5. *The map* $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X'/s', \bar{x}') \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x})$. *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $(s_i, \bar{s}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a cofinal system of pointed Galois connected két coverings of $(s, \bar{s})$ (and let $\tilde{s}_i$ be the reduced subscheme of s_i endowed with the inverse image log structure). Let us write $(X_i, \bar{x}_i) = (X \times_s \tilde{s}_i, \bar{x} \times_{\bar{s}} \bar{s}_i)$.
Let $(s'_j, \bar{s}'_j)_{j \in J}$ be a cofinal system of pointed Galois connected két coverings of $(s', \bar{s}')$ (and let $\tilde{s}'_j$ be the reduced subscheme of s'_j endowed with the inverse image log structure. Let us write $(X'_j, \bar{x}'_j) = (X \times_{s'} s'_j, \bar{x}' \times_{\bar{s}'} \bar{s}'_j)$.
One has to prove that

$$\varprojlim_j \pi_1^{\log}(X'_j, \bar{x}'_j) \to \varprojlim_i \pi_1^{\log}(X_i, \bar{x}_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

If Y is an fs log scheme, $\epsilon_Y : Y_{\text{két}} \to \mathring{Y}_{\text{ét}}$ denotes the usual morphism of topoi from the két topos of Y to the étale topos of the underlying scheme of Y.
$\tilde{s}_i$ has the same underlying scheme as s, so $\mathring{X}_i \to \mathring{X}$ is an isomorphism of schemes since $X \to s$ is assumed to be saturated. For the same reason $\mathring{X}'_j \to \mathring{X}'$, which is itself isomorphic to $\mathring{X}$.
More precisely, for any i there is j_0 such that for $i \geq i'$ and $j \geq j' \geq j_0$, one has

a 2-commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'_{j,\text{két}} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] \arrow[dd] & & X'_{j',\text{két}} \arrow[dr] \arrow[dd] & \\
& X_{i,\text{két}} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & X_{i',\text{két}} \arrow[dd] \\
\mathring{X}'_{j,\text{ét}} \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & \mathring{X}'_{j',\text{ét}} \arrow[dr] & \\
& \mathring{X}_{i,\text{ét}} \arrow[rr] & & \mathring{X}_{i',\text{ét}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all the morphisms of schemes of the bottom square are isomorphisms.
If G is a finite group, one thus has 2-commutative diagrams of stacks over $\mathring{X}_{\text{ét}}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\epsilon_{X'_{j'}*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X'_{j',\text{két}}}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \epsilon_{X'_{j}*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X'_{j,\text{két}}}(G) \arrow[d] \\
\epsilon_{X_{i'}*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X_{i',\text{két}}}(G) \arrow[r] & \epsilon_{X_{i}*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X_{i,\text{két}}}(G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

More precisely, let us write $IJ = I \coprod J$ endowed with the partial order such that:

- the restriction of the partial order to I (resp. J) is the usual one,
- if $i \in I$ and $j \in J$, then $i \leq j$ if and only if there exists a (necessarily unique) morphism of pointed fs log schemes $(s'_j, \bar{s}'_j) \to (s_i, \bar{s}_i)$ that makes the square

  $$\begin{tikzcd}
  (s'_j, \bar{s}'_j) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (s_i, \bar{s}_i) \arrow[d] \\
  (s', \bar{s}') \arrow[r] & (s, \bar{s})
  \end{tikzcd}$$

  commutative,
- if $j \in J$ and $i \in I$, then $j \not\leq i$.

Let us also denote by IJ the corresponding category. Then one has a fibered category over $IJ^{\text{op}} \times \mathring{X}_{\text{ét}}$, whose fiber in (i, U) is $\epsilon_{X_i*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X_{i,\text{két}}}(G)(U)$ and the fiber in (j, U) is $\epsilon_{X'_j*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X'_{j,\text{két}}}(G)(U)$.

By taking the inductive limit over $i \in I$, which is directed, one gets, according to [4, I.1.10], a fibered category over $\mathring{X}_{\text{ét}}$ whose fiber in U is $\varinjlim_i \epsilon_{\widetilde{X}_i*}\operatorname{Tors}_{\widetilde{X}_{i,\text{két}}}(G)(U)$; let us denote by $\varinjlim_i \epsilon_{\widetilde{X}_i*}\operatorname{Tors}_{\widetilde{X}_{i,\text{két}}}(G)$ the stack associated to this fibered category (and we do the same for X' and J).
Since $\mathring{X}$ is assumed to be nœtherian (and thus $\mathring{X}_{\text{ét}}$ is a coherent topos), the functor from $\varinjlim_j \operatorname{Tors}(G, X'_{j,\text{két}})$ to the category of global sections of $\varinjlim_j \epsilon_{X'_j*}\operatorname{Tors}_{X'_{j,\text{két}}}(G)$ is an equivalence of categories. Moreover

$$\varinjlim_j \operatorname{Tors}(G, X'_{j,\text{két}}) \simeq \varinjlim_j \operatorname{Tors}(G, \pi_1^{\log}(X'_j, \bar{x}'_j)\text{−Set}) \simeq \operatorname{Tors}(G, \varprojlim \pi_1^{\log}(X'_j, \bar{x}'_j)\text{−Set}).$$

The 2-commutative diagrams induce a morphism of stacks:

$$\underset{\longrightarrow\, j}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \epsilon_{X'_j *}\,\mathrm{Tors}_{X'_{j,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}}(G) \to \underset{\longrightarrow\, i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \epsilon_{X_i *}\,\mathrm{Tors}_{X_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}}(G) \tag{1}$$

We thus have to prove that (1) is an equivalence of stacks, which can be proved on the fibers (since $\mathring{X}_{\text{ét}}$ has enough points; [4, cor. III.2.1.5.8]). This does not depend on the base points anymore (we thus may forget those, so that we may use the notations again to denote other points).
Let x be a point of $\mathring{X}_{\text{ét}}$, $\bar{x}'$ a point of $X'_{\text{két}}$ above x with image $\bar{x}$ in $X_{\text{két}}$, and let V_x be the category of étale neighborhoods of x in $\mathring{X}$. Then one has (by coherence of the morphism of topoi ϵ_{X_i}, as in [13, dem of 2.4]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\underset{\longrightarrow\, U\in V_x}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \underset{\longrightarrow\, i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \epsilon_{X_i *}\,\mathrm{Tors}_{X_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}}(G)(U) &= \underset{\longrightarrow\, i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \underset{\longrightarrow\, U\in V_x}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \epsilon_{X_i *}\,\mathrm{Tors}_{X_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}}(G)(U)\\
&= \underset{\longrightarrow\, i}{\mathrm{Lim}}\ \mathrm{Tors}(G, X(x)_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}})\\
&= \mathrm{Tors}(G, \varprojlim\nolimits_i \pi_1^{\log}(X(x)_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}, \bar{x}\times s_i) - \mathrm{Set}),
\end{aligned}$$

and one has a similar result for X'.
One thus only have to prove that

$$\varprojlim_j \pi_1^{\log}(X'(x)_{j,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}, \bar{x}'\times_{\bar{s}'}\bar{s}'_j) \to \varprojlim_i \pi_1^{\log}(X(x)_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}, \bar{x}\times_{\bar{s}}\bar{s}_i)$$

is an isomorphism.
We are thus reduced to the case where $\mathring{X}$ is a strictly local, nœtherian and henselian scheme. But then ([15, prop. 3.1.11]), for $\mathring{X}$ a strictly local, nœtherian and henselian scheme,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varprojlim\nolimits_i \pi_1^{\log}(X_{i,\mathrm{k\acute{e}t}}, \bar{x}\times_{\bar{s}}\bar{s}_i) &= \varprojlim\nolimits_i \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_{X_i}, \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^{(p')})\\
&= \mathrm{Hom}(\varinjlim\nolimits_i M^{\mathrm{gp}}_{X_i}, \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^{(p')})\\
&= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Coker}(\overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_s \to \overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_X), \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^{(p')}),
\end{aligned}$$

and one has a similar result for X'.
But $\mathrm{Coker}(\overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_s \to \overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_X) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_{s'} \to \overline{M}^{\mathrm{gp}}_{X'})$ is an isomorphism.
One thus gets the wanted result. □

Let us assume now that $(s', \bar{s}') \to (s, \bar{s})$ is simply assumed to be a morphism of log points, that $Y \to s$ is a saturated morphism and that $X \to Y$ is a két morphism with $\mathring{X}$ of finite type over s.

Corollary 2.6. *The map of profinite groups*

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x})^{(p')} \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X'/s', \bar{x}')^{(p')}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By replacing s (resp. s') by the closed reduced subscheme of a connected két covering of s (resp. s'), one can assume that $X \to s$ is saturated ($\mathring{X} \to \mathring{s}$ will still be of finite type).
If $(t, \bar{t}) \to (s, \bar{s})$ is a strict étale covering, then $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_t/t, \bar{x}_t) \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x})$ is an isomorphism. Thus, by writing s_0 for the separable closure of s and by taking the projective limit over pointed strict étale coverings (since $\pi_1^{\log}(X_{s_0}) =$

$\varprojlim \pi_1^{\log}(X_t)$, where t runs through pointed strict étale coverings of s), one gets that $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_{s_0}/s_0, \bar{x}_0) \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s, \bar{x})$ is an isomorphism.
One thus may assume that $\mathring{s}$ and $\mathring{s}'$ are geometric points.
Let us consider the fs log scheme s'' whose underlying scheme is $\mathring{s}'$ and whose log structure is the inverse image of the log structure of s.
Thus, one has morphisms $s' \to s'' \to s$, where $s' \to s''$ is an isomorphism on the underlying schemes and $s'' \to s$ is strict.
But according to lemma 2.4, $\pi_1^{\log}(X_{s''})^{(p')} \to \pi_1^{\log}(X)^{(p')}$ and $\pi_1^{\log}(s'')^{(p')} \to \pi_1^{\log}(s)^{(p')}$ are isomorphisms. Thus,

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_{s''}/s'') \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X/s)$$

is an isomorphism.
By 2.5, $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_{s'}/s') \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(X_{s''}/s'')$ is also an isomorphism. □

Recall that if S is a strictly local scheme with closed point s and X is a connected fs log scheme such that $\mathring{X}$ is proper over S, then

$$\mathrm{KCov}(X) \to \mathrm{KCov}(X_s)$$

is an equivalence of categories (theorem 2.2).
Let $X \to S$ be a proper and saturated morphism of log schemes, and let $Y \to X$ be a két covering. Let s and s' be two points of S and assume that one has a specialization $\bar{s}' \to \bar{s}$ (where $\bar{s}$ and $\bar{s}'$ are some log geometric points over s and s').
Let Z be the strictly local scheme of S at s endowed with the inverse image log structure, and let z be its closed point, endowed with the inverse image log structure.
One has the following arrows (defined up to inner homomorphisms):

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_s/s)^{(p')} \overset{\simeq}{\to} \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_z/z)^{(p')} \overset{\simeq}{\to} \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_Z/Z)^{(p')} \leftarrow \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_{s'}/s')^{(p')}$$

where the first two homomorphism are isomorphisms according to corollary 2.6 and theorem 2.2.

Corollary 2.7. *One has a specialization morphism*

$$\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_{s'}/s')^{(p')} \to \pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_s/s)^{(p')}$$

that factors through $\pi_1^{\text{log-geom}}(Y_Z/Z)^{(p')}$.

3 Cospecialization of tempered fundamental groups

3.1 Topological cospecialization of semistable curves

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a semistable curve, and let $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ be a specialization of geometric points of Y. In this section we will define a cospecialization map of graphs $\mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_2})$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume Y is strictly local of special point y_1, and $X \to Y$ strictly semistable. Let x be a node or a generic point of X_{y_1}. Then $X(x)_{y_2}$ is either contained in the smooth locus of a geometrically irreducible component $F(x)$ of $X(x)_{y_2}$ or contains a single node $F(x)$ of $X(x)_{y_2}$, which is rational.*

Proof. By replacing Y by a closed embedding, one can assume that y_2 is the generic point of Y and Y is integral.

(i) If x is in the smooth locus of X_{y_1}, $X \to Y$ is smooth at x, and $X(x)_{y_2}$ is geometrically connected by local 0-acyclicity of smooth morphisms.

(ii) If x is a node, one can assume that $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and f factorizes through an étale morphism $X \to \operatorname{Spec} B$ with $B = A[u,v]/uv - a$ and $a(y_1) = 0$. If $a = 0$ let $Z = X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} B} \operatorname{Spec} A$ where $g : B \to A$ is defined by $g(u) = g(v) = 0$ (this is the closed subscheme of X defined by the node; in particular Z_{y_2} is the union of all the nodes of X_{y_2}). $Z \to Y$ is étale and thus $Z(x) \to Y$ is an isomorphism. Thus $Z(x)_{y_2}$ is just a rational point $F(x)$.

□

Since $F(x)$ is geometrically irreducible, we will also write $F(x)$ for the corresponding irreducible component or node of X_{y_2}.

If $\phi : X' \to X$ is a finite open morphism of strictly semistable curves over Y which maps nodes to nodes on every fiber, then $\phi F' = F\phi$. Indeed $\phi(x)$ is in the closure of $\phi F'(x)$, thus $F\phi(x)$ is in the closure of $\phi F'(x)$. One only has to prove that if $F\phi(x)$ is a node, then $\phi F'(x)$ is also a node. Let us assume that $F\phi(x)$ is a node of X_{y_2}. Let z_1 and z_2 be the two generic points of the irreducible components of X_{y_2} whose closures contain $F\phi(x)$ (and thus also $\phi(x)$). Since ϕ is open, there exists z_1' and z_2' in $X(x)_{y_2}$ such that $\phi(z_1') = z_1$ and $\phi(z_2') = z_2$. Thus $X(x)_{y_2}$ cannot be in a single irreducible component of X_{y_2}, and thus $F'(x)$ is a node of X'_{y_2}. By assumption, $\phi F'(x)$ is a node of X_{y_2}.

Corollary 3.2. *There is a unique generalized morphism of graphs*

$$\psi : \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_2})$$

which is

- *functorial for étale morphisms $X' \to X$,*
- *compatible with base change $Y' \to Y$,*
- *such that if $f : X \to Y$ is strictly semistable and Y is strictly local with special point $\bar{y}_1$, $\psi(x) = F(x)$ for any node or generic point x.*

Proof. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a strictly semistable curve, and let $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ be a specialization of geometric points of Y.
One can then construct $\psi_{X/Y} : \mathbb{G}(X_{y_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{y_2})$ by replacing Y by its strict localization at $\bar{y}_1$ and letting $\psi(x) := F(x)$. Obviously if b is a branch of e in $\mathbb{G}(X_{y_1})$ that abuts in v, $\psi(e) \subset \psi(v)$, whence $\psi(b)$ (which is well defined since

$X_{\bar{y}_2}$ is strictly semistable).
This morphism is clearly compatible with étale morphisms of strictly semistable curve.

Thus if $X \to Y$ is now a general semistable curve, one choses an étale covering family $X' \to X$, and let $X'' = X' \times_X X'$.
One has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{G}(X''_{\bar{y}_1}) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{G}(X'_{\bar{y}_1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \\
\mathbb{G}(X''_{\bar{y}_2}) \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] & \mathbb{G}(X'_{\bar{y}_2}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_2})
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is a unique generalized morphism of graphs $\psi : \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_2})$ making the diagram commutative. ☐

The cospecialization generalized morphisms of graphs are also compatible with finite open morphisms of strictly semistable curves that maps nodes to nodes fiberwise.

We want to know when this generalized morphism of graphs is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.3. *If $\psi : \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{\bar{y}_2})$ is a genuine morphism of graphs and f is proper, then ψ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. One may assume $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ to be strictly local and integral with special point y_1 and generic point y_2.
The assumption means that étale locally on the special fiber (and thus on X by properness), X is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec} A[u,v]/uv$ or is smooth.

Let $Z \subset X$ be the non smooth locus of $X \to Y$, endowed with the reduced subscheme structure. $Z \to Y$ is étale (as can be seen étale locally over X), and proper. One thus gets that F induces a bijection between nodes of $X_{\bar{y}_1}$ and $X_{\bar{y}_2}$.
Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the blowup of X along Z. When $X = \operatorname{Spec} A[u,v]/(uv)$, Z is defined by the ideal generated by u and v, and $\widetilde{X} = \operatorname{Spec} A[u] \coprod \operatorname{Spec} A[v]$.
Thus by looking étale locally over X, one sees that $\widetilde{X}$ is smooth over Y, and that $\widetilde{X}_y$ is simply the normalization of X_y.
Since we assumed $X \to Y$ to be proper, $\widetilde{X} \to Y$ is smooth and proper, thus its Stein factorization induces a bijection between the connected components of $\widetilde{X}_{\bar{y}_1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{\bar{y}_2}$, and thus the map between the irreducible components of $\widetilde{X}_{\bar{y}_1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{\bar{y}_2}$ is a bijection too. ☐

Proposition 3.4. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a log semistable curve, and $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ is a specialization of log geometric point.*
Assume $\overline{M}_{\bar{y}_1} \to \overline{M}_{\bar{y}_2}$ is an isomorphism. Then $\psi : \mathbb{G}(X_{y_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(X_{y_2})$ is a genuine morphism of graphs.

Proof. One can assume Y to be strictly local, integral with generic point y_2: $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$, with a chart $P \to A$.

To show that it is a genuine morphism, one only has to prove that $\psi(e)$ is an edge if e is an edge of $\mathbb{G}(X_{y_1})$. This is not changed by an étale morphism, so that one can simply assume $X = \operatorname{Spec} A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[P]} \mathbf{Z}[Q]$ with $Q = (P \oplus < u, v >)/(u+v=p)$ and $p \in P$, such that the image of p in $M_{\bar{y}_1}$ is not invertible. Thus the image of p in $M_{\bar{y}_2}$ is not invertible and thus $X = \operatorname{Spec} A[u,v]/(uv=0)$, which gives the wanted result.

□

3.2 Topological cospecialization and két morphisms

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper log semistable curve. Let $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ be a specialization of log geometric points.
Let $S_0 \to X_{\bar{y}_1}$ be a log geometric két covering.
Then it extends to a két neighborhood U of $\bar{y}_1$ in a két covering $S \to X_U$. After further két localization, one may even assume $S \to X_U$ to be a log semistable curve.
Thus one can use corollary 3.2 and get a generalized morphism of graphs $\mathbb{G}(S_{\bar{y}_1}) \to \mathbb{G}(S_{\bar{y}_2})$. It does not depend on the choice of U or S since any two extensions of S_0 to a két neighborhood of $\bar{y}_1$ are isomorphic over a smaller két neighborhood and this isomorphism is unique up to further két localization.
If $S'_0 \to S_0$ is a két covering, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{G}(S'_{\bar{y}_1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{G}(S'_{\bar{y}_2}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{G}(S_{\bar{y}_1}) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}(S_{\bar{y}_2})
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $\overline{M}_{Y,y_1} \to \overline{M}_{Y,y_2}$ is an isomorphism, $\overline{M}_{U,y_1} \to \overline{M}_{U,y_2}$ is still an isomorphism, so that one can still apply proposition 3.4 to S.

3.3 Cospecialization of tempered fundamental groups

Let assume $p \notin \mathbb{L}$. Let $Y \to O_K$ be a morphism of fs log schemes and $X \to Y$ be a proper log semistable curve.
Let Y_{tr} (resp. U) be the open locus of Y (resp. X) where the log structure is trivial ($Y_{\text{tr}} \subset Y_\eta$). Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be the completion of Y along its closed fiber.
Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \cap Y_{\text{tr}}^{\text{an}}$, this is an analytic subdomain of Y^{an}

Definition 3.1. $\text{Pt}^{\text{an}}(Y)$ is the category whose objects are the geometric points y of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{H}(y)$ is discretely valued (where y is the underlying point of $\bar{y}$) and $\text{Hom}_{\text{Pt}^{\text{an}}(Y)}(\bar{y}, \bar{y}')$ is the set of két specializations in Y_k from the log reduction $\bar{y}_s$ of y to the log reduction $\bar{y}'_s$ of y'.

Theorem 3.5. *For any morphism $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ in $\text{Pt}^{\text{an}}(Y)$, there is a cospecialization morphism*

$$\pi_1^{\text{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\text{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}}$$

which is an isomorphism if $\overline{M}_{y_{1,s}} \to \overline{M}_{y_{2,s}}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\bar{y}_2 \to \bar{y}_1$ be its image in $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{an}}(Y)$.
There is a 2-commutative diagram of cospecialization functors:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{\bar{y}_{1,s}})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{KCov}_{\mathrm{geom}}(X_{\bar{y}_{2,s}})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical arrows are equivalences ([9, prop. 1.15]) and the bottom arrow too ([5, XIII.2.10]).
There are also pointed versions of these equivalences.
Let $S_{1,s}$ be a log geometric két covering of $X_{\bar{y}_{1,s}}$ and let $S_{2,s}$ (resp. S_1, S_2) be the corresponding covering of $X_{\bar{y}_{2,s}}$ (resp. $U_{\bar{y}_1}, U_{\bar{y}_2}$).

There are maps (functorially in S):

$$|S_{1,s}^{\mathrm{an}}| \leftarrow |\mathbb{G}(S_{1,s})| \to |\mathbb{G}(S_{2,s})| \to |S_{2,s}^{\mathrm{an}}|$$

where the first and third map are the embedding of the skeleton of an anlytic curve. They are homotopy equivalences.
One thus get a morphism of homotopy types $|S_{1,s}^{\mathrm{an}}| \to |S_{2,s}^{\mathrm{an}}|$ functorially in S.
One thus gets a functor of fibered categories:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(U_{\bar{y}_2}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{top}}(U_{\bar{y}_1}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

This induces a functor of associated stacks:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}} \arrow[r, phantom, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{alg}}(U_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

By taking the global sections one gets a functor:

$$\mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \mathrm{Cov}^{\mathrm{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_1}))^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

It induces a cospecialization outer morphism of tempered fundamental groups

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_1})^{\mathbb{L}} \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{temp}}(U_{\bar{y}_2})^{\mathbb{L}}.$$

□

References

[1] Yves André, *Period mappings and differential equations : From* $\mathbf{C}$ *to* $\mathbf{C}_p$, MSJ Memoirs, vol. 12, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2003.

[2] Vladimir G. Berkovich, *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-archimedian fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1990.

[3] Aise Johan de Jong, *étale fundametal group of non archimedean analytic spaces*, Compositio mathematica **97** (1995), 89–118.

[4] Jean Giraud, *cohomologie non abélienne*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 179, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[5] Alexander Grothendieck (ed.), *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA1)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 224, Berlin, Springer, 1971.

[6] Luc Illusie, *An overview of the works of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology*, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques (II), Astérisque, vol. 279, Société mathématique de France, 2002, pp. 271–322.

[7] Luc Illusie, Kazuya Kato, and Chikara Nakayama, *Quasi-unipotent logarithmic riemann-hilbert correspondences*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences of the University of Tokyo **12** (2005), 1–66.

[8] Kazuya Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, Algebraic analysis,Geometry and Number theory, Proceedings of the JAMI inaugural conference (Baltimore and London), The John Hopkins University Press, 1989, pp. 191–224.

[9] Mark Kisin, *Prime to p fundamental groups and tame Galois action*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **50** (2000), no. 4, 1099–1126.

[10] Emmanuel Lepage, *Coverings in p-adic analytic geometry and log coverings II: Cospecialization of the* (p')*-tempered fundamental group in higher dimensions*, arXiv: 0903:2349.

[11] Shinichi Mochizuki, *Semi-graphs of anabelioids*, Publications of the Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences **42** (2006), no. 1, 221–322.

[12] Arthur Ogus, *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, notes préliminaires, `http://math.berkeley.edu/~ogus/preprints/log_book/logbook.pdf`.

[13] Fabrice Orgogozo, *Erratum et compléments à l'article « Altérations et groupe fondamental premier à p » paru au bulletin de la s.m.f. (131), tome 1, 2003*, unpublished.

[14] ———, *Altérations et groupe fondamental premier à p*, Bulletin de la société mathématique de France **131** (2003), no. 1, 123–147.

[15] Jakob Stix, *Projective anabelian curves in positive characteristic and descent theory for log-étale covers*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 2002.

[16] Isabelle Vidal, *Morphismes log étales et descente par homéomorphismes universels*, Compte rendu de l'Académie des sciences **332** (2001), no. 1, 239–244.